THOMAS M. KOENIG, M.D., 16 17 having been first duly sworn, was examined and deposed as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ENGLISH: 21 Would you state your name for the record? 22

Sure. Thomas Martin Koenig, M.D.

Dr. Koenig, my name is Bob English, as you know, and I'm here to ask you some questions about my

23

24

time, ten years, then you had to re-sit for Boards again. 16 And you did so? Yes, sir. I think I'm good through the year 2014, if I'm not mistaken. I have a cv, if you'd 18 19 like. 20 Doctor, we have a copy of your CV Would you hand that to the doctor and see if that's the 22 most recent CV he has, Ms. Court Reporter?
23 A Yes. That is correct. And I'm
24 recertified through the 31st of December, 2014

MR. ENGLISH: Let's make your CV Exhibit

25

Page 31 Page 34 understand a psychiatric technician would need to t benefits of that study, the physician can use his thumb and 2 try various limited duty attempts. Sometimes he 2 potentially have to subdue potentially unruly psychiatric 3 patients, would have to assist them in feeding, have to 3 undershoots, sometimes he overshoots. It was my opinion that he could not tolerate the fifteen pounds of repetitive 4 assist them in lifting them on occasions to beds and commodes and/or move them from one place to another for CAT 5 lifting that we attempted to get him to do in July, so I overshot the mark in July: I asked him to do too much.

Q So you think he should have been 6 scans and things of that nature. Doctor, when you last saw him the 15th restricted from doing anything from the first time that you saw him up until the time that you last saw him here in of November, did you give him a permanent no duty, no work status with certain impairments? Yes, sir. 11 A No, sir. I think it was appropriate to
12 try it in July. I don't think that there was an error in
13 medical decision making. I think that I just was overly Q 11 Restrictions? 12 A. Yes, sir. What were those restrictions? And I'll 13 14 ask you to refer back to your July 6 note, the specifics of 14 hopeful that he would be able to do that." 15 Q When you say he is not able to do 16 anything, are you saying he needs to sit in a bed for a 15 that, sir. 16 On July 6th, it was written for no complete day and not do any activity at all?

A What I'm saying is that this gentleman probably can't even tolerate sitting in bed for eight hours. He's going to have to sit, stand. He's going to repetitive bending, stooping, squatting, or lifting greater than fifteen pounds. He should be allowed frequent changes Are those still the restrictions that 20 21 have to move his self to a recliner. He's going to have to 21 you had him on permanently at this time, sir? walk, he's going to have to pace. In that regard, no to 23 your question, simply, and similarly at the workplace do I 24 think he could sit and just answer a phone, I don't think If I can, sir, allow me just a few 22 Α 23 seconds to check my notes. 24 Q Okay. 25 No. In effort -- I should state that 25 so. He's going to have to stand, he's going to have to Α Page 35 Page 32 1 sit, he's going to have to lie down for a short period of 2 time. I know that I couldn't employ him in a clerical 1 those were amended further to whereby he was placed on no duty on the 15th of November, 2005. What does that mean, sir, in your position in my office, and I certainly couldn't employ him to do any manual labor in my office. 4 opinion? A Meaning that I really don't think he could do anything. When I saw him in the office, let's say, on the 15th of November, I saw him for forty-five Do you have any training as a vocational assessor? 6 Indirect training in the fact that I 8 have substantial -- how can we put it, in the fact that I 9 deal with a lot of vocational reports. I discuss with 8 minutes to an hour and during that time the man just could not sit or lay still or stand still. He was constantly 10 having to change positions. I don't think that he would 11 have been employable in that regard. He would have been a 12 distraction to any workplace with as frequently as he had vocational rehabilitation counselors various options and how they orthopedically or mechanically can be potentially adjusted or improved, so I have a fair bit of experience, 13 to move to try to keep himself in some semblance of but, no, sir, I'm not a vocational rehabilitation 14 comfort. counselor. Q Do you have any training in what jobs are available for disabled people in this area? Doctor, do you have an opinion as to 15 16 whether or not this man will suffer pain in the future as a 17 A I have a good general idea. Do I have 18 the ability to know that at this particular time that one 19 company "X" has a job that's opened, no, sir. 17 result of these injuries? Yes, sir. I think that that unfortunately also is permanent, and that's the reason why Is that general ability similar to what we sent him to a pain management consultation through Dr. 2.0 any of us who have an understanding as to what work 21 Browder. 21 Will he require medications to alleviate involves has? 23 the pain of this wreck and injuries in the future? I would think it would be similar to Most likely. what any other Board certified orthopedic surgeon has in 24 25 Q Have you done everything that you can 25 the area. Page 36 for him at this time from an orthopedic standpoint, Doctor? No more or no less? Yes, sir. No more, no less. And as far as whether or not you've ever 3 MR. ENGLISH: I believe that's all. actually performed a vocational analysis on someone, I CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. WOODFIN think that would be no? Q Dr. Koenig, my name's Clint Woodfin, and I represent Mr. Curd and Fox of Oak Ridge in this lawsuit. That is correct. You mentioned his inability to do these 8 activities, and I'm thinking that's primarily based on the
9 complaints of pain that he's relating to you, correct?
10 A Based on the complaints of pain coupled
11 with the objective findings on MRI, CT scan and plain films Mr. Curd was driving the vehicle that rear-ended Mr. Neely's vehicle. If I understood your testimony correctly 10 about his restriction, you have changed the restriction 11 that you had him on since July of 2004 as of 11-15-05; is 12 as well as a physical examination that's repetitively done.

13 Q There are no objective indications which 12 that correct! That was correct. I just want to make 14 sure I heard the dates correctly. He was -- we attempted would lead you to conclude that if he tried to do anything, would hart himself, are there?

16 A Not within the fifteen pounds that he
17 was allowed to do back in July. I don't think that the
18 fifteen pounds would hart him. I just don't think that he to put him back to work on a limited duty basis, very limited, in July, and I responded as such to Mr. English's question. He said as of July, what was his duty status, 18 and then on the 15th of November, 2005, he was placed on no was able to do the fifteen pounds.

Q And that's still the same in November of
21 2005, when you last saw him? There's nothing objective
22 that you can point to that says if this man tries to do
23 something, he's going to hurt himself? 19 duty. And that original restriction didn't change until November 15th, 2005, correct? A That's correct. Please understand that this gentleman has never had a Functional Capacity Evaluation, which would objectively describe exactly what this gentleman can and cannot do. When you don't have the I think if he tried to lift more than

25 fifteen pounds again, I think that he would fail again.