IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,)	
) No. 3:05-CV-304	ł
Plaintiff,)	
) Knoxville, TN	
VS.) June 21, 2006	
) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED) BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq. Michael C. Inman, Esq. Robert J. English & Associates 706 S. Gay Street Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq. Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC 800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400 Knoxville, TN 37929

> DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455 MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS Knoxville, Tennessee 37943 Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398 E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

was. It's unquestioned that the rear-end collision
happened as alleged in the answer of the defendant.
And that Mr. Curd was driving a car as the agent of
Fox of Oak Ridge. And that he struck this man on a
rain-slickened road. There's an abundance of proof in
there. It's just a question for the jury to
determine, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Any further, Mr. Woodfin?

MR. WOODFIN: Your Honor, at this point, I would have to think that the jury to be able to determine this question, there must be some proof set forth by the plaintiff that the defendant's agent breached some type of duty. There is very simply no proof in the record that the defendant breached any duty whatsoever to this plaintiff.

Accidents happen. You can't infer negligence from the fact that an accident happened. There must be some proof set forth by the plaintiff that the agent of the defendant engaged in some level of conduct which merits a breach of duty that he owed to the plaintiff.

There's no proof in the record. It's not our burden to prove that. It's the plaintiff's burden to allow that to be carried forth to the jury. And there is no evidence in this record that anything Mr. Curd

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 35

did, or did not do, was negligent. It's as simple as
 that.

There's no testimony from Mr. Curd whatsoever. The only thing that the plaintiff can say is that he was struck in the rear. Why? The jury can't tell why. There is nothing for them to determine. Nothing for them to weigh. There is no way that the plaintiff can argue that negligence can be inferred just because an accident happened.

10 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Woodfin. The 11 evidence that has been put in the record so far by the 12 plaintiff was that he was driving in a reasonable 13 manner down the road. He slowed almost to a dead stop 14 in order to allow a car to turn in front of him into a 15 shopping mall area. At that point, he was struck in 16 the rear by another vehicle.

That raises to the jury a question as to whether or not the car that struck him was being operated in an unreasonable manner in the circumstances then existing.

I think that your argument is that their case
is weak. But it's still a jury question. So,
respectfully, your motion will be denied.
And shall we bring the jury back in?
MR. WOODFIN: There's one other matter I need

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Page 36