IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,)	
) No. 3:05-CV-304	ł
Plaintiff,)	
) Knoxville, TN	
VS.) June 21, 2006	
) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED) BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq. Michael C. Inman, Esq. Robert J. English & Associates 706 S. Gay Street Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq. Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC 800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400 Knoxville, TN 37929

> DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455 MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS Knoxville, Tennessee 37943 Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398 E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

a bunch of evidence which will not allow you to conclude the 1 2 amount of damages that Mr. Neely is seeking in this case is appropriate to award him. 3

He's testified he can't work. But he's testified 4 5 he's applied for jobs. He's testified he tried to put down information on these applications about his pre-existing 6 7 problems.

I don't know that applications include that 8 You don't know that either, because he didn't tell 9 anymore. 10 you anything about those specific applications, or bring you 11 any evidence of those applications. But that's the kind of stuff that we're dealing with; speculation, conjecture, and a 12 13 desire to try to get money out of my client.

14 Mr. English said something on day one of this trial that is very, very pertinent. He said on July 14, 2004, 15 the day of this accident, Mr. Neely's life was changed forever. 16 I agree with that. But it was changed by him. 17

It was on that day that, and the days that 18 followed afterwards, that he decided, I'm not going to live my 19 life anymore like I used to. I'm going to try to get money out 20 21 of this lawsuit. Don't reward him for that. 22

CLOSING ARGUMENT

23 BY MR. ENGLISH:

24 First of all, Mr. Woodfin is incorrect. I didn't say July the 14th, I said July the 12th his life was changed 25

forever. Because that's when this accident happened. It
 wasn't the 14th.

Mr. Woodfin didn't tell you that when we file our lawsuit in a rear-end collision that he files an answer denying that it's his fault even though this man was following too closely, too fast on a rain-slickened road. And they still have put this man through total hell for the last two years -this Corporation.

9 Now, Michael asked me to help him in this case 10 because I've tried a few cases. And I find that it's my job to 11 help my clients if I can. I talk to a lot of people about 12 representing them, and I don't take many cases of the people I 13 talk to.

And if I can help someone, and I honestly believe or know that they're hurt, I'm going to help them. I'm going to do everything I can.

I've lost some cases I should have won. I've won some cases I should have lost. But whenever I saw the reports on Tom Neely...

And Mr. Woodfin didn't mention a word about those two MRIs that showed two protruding disks in his neck and a bulging disk in his neck, and four disks in his back that were bulging. He's didn't say a word about it. Not a word. Not one word. He's trying to defend this case, a rear-end collision. And this is a case...

Most collision cases get settled. They never get tried because the defendants generally settle these cases because it's obvious to everybody, hopefully to you, who caused the wreck.

5 Tom didn't have any control over how fast this 6 man was driving behind him. Or how close he was following 7 behind him. Or whether the road was slick. He had no control 8 over that. You heard it.

9 And it just does not make sense that he would 10 stop for no apparent reason on a rain-slickened road. And for 11 him to be able to stop from 35 miles an hour in five feet is 12 incredible. It's unbelievable. And I'm sure you do not 13 believe that.

And for him to have been hit hard enough to total his car, and for Mr. Woodfin to object to you hearing that his car was totaled... Yes, he drove it back to Kentucky. He had to, to salvage it. It took him two and a half hours, I believe he said, to get it back. And then he drove it to his mothers one time. And then that was the last time he drove that.

He didn't want you to hear that about it being totalled. He didn't want you to hear about the seat being broke. Him being hit so hard that it was broken.

And for Mr. Curd to come in here and say that, I was going 35, and then I slowed down and hit him about 45 (sic), and knocked him forward about two or three feet and

Electronically signed by Dana Holloway (401-030-868-4617)

broke his seat and totalled it. He didn't mention a word about that. I wonder why? Because that just doesn't stand the light of day as being honest and truthful.

This case should have never gotten into a lawyer's hands. This case should have been settled early on. And Mr. Neely shouldn't have been put through by this defendant, this corporate defendant that no longer exists, shouldn't have been put through this for the last two years -and his wife.

10 These people have gone from him working ever 11 since he was 13 years old mowing yards, working at the Scott 12 County Hospital. It's gone from that to this man living on 13 food stamps. And he's never done that before.

You saw what he said when I asked him about how this affected the way he felt about him being unable to care for his wife and his children. This is just a horrible situation. And it cries out for justice. And it's all we can do.

19 They're not accepting responsibility. And I want 20 you to do what you think is right, and what is fair, and what 21 is just. When we sue for an amount here, we have to put a top 22 figure in it. And I said, and he read, and he read correctly, 23 "...which the jury deems fair and just, but not to exceed two 24 and a half million dollars."

It's not for me to say what this case is worth.

25

But I want to talk to you just a few minutes about some
 elements of the damages.

For instance, Tom Neely will never, ever, ever work again. A board-certified orthopedic surgeon said that on cross examination. And a board-certified pain specialist said that. That's the head, the president, of all the pain specialists that's in the State of Tennessee. These are two of the best people that I know. And I'm not apologizing for sending Tom.

10 Actually, I thought I sent him to Dr. Browder, 11 but I didn't, apparently. Dr. Koenig sent him to him. I am 12 not apologizing for that if I had.

I would have, because I know Dr. Browder is a good doctor. I know Dr. Koenig is an excellent doctor. If I had to go to him, or if I had a family member, I would certainly want one of those people to see him.

And both of those doctors said that this man 17 Mr. Woodfin is talking about, well, he's applying 18 can't work. for jobs. Yes, he's applied for jobs. He's starving to death. 19 20 He's going to the flea markets and watching the kids while his 21 wife can eke out a meager existence, when this man took care of 22 them all of his life. All of his life. He took care of 23 himself from age 13. He took care of his wife for the 24 twenty-two years they've been married.

And he had a job he dearly loved that he drove

25

200 miles a day to, roughly, back and forth. And he wanted to 1 2 work there until they ran him off at age 65. You can say what you want to about the damage 3 that was done to this van, or the damage that wasn't done to 4 5 the van. That was a big huge van. It was a tank. A tank hits a little car, there's not going to be any damage done to it. 6 7 You know that. You know when a big tractor-trailer, or a big van 8 hits a little car, you know who's going to come out second best 9 10 in that collision. And that's exactly what happened to Tom. 11 That's why his seat broke. That's why he hasn't worked a day since this. 12 13 He did go back to Dr. Koenig. Dr. Koenig ... 14 Shortly before he gave the deposition -- two weeks before the deposition. Dr. Koenig wanted him to go back to work. 15 It's my obligation as a lawyer to get people back 16 To get them back as good as I can. You know, whether 17 to work. you make money on them, or whether I don't make money on them, 18 it's my job as a human being to try to get them to do the best 19 20 they can. And the same way with Dr. Koenig. 21 He sent him back to try to go to work. He went 22 back and tried to go to work at the job he loved. At the job 23 he would go 200 miles a day to, five days a week for a year and 24 a half. 25 And they wouldn't take him back because he was on

drugs, he was on methadone, and he had a lifting restriction.
And that lifting restriction has been taken off to a "no duty"
restriction. He can't work any. So this man...

And the law requires that we prove our case by competent medical proof. Mr. Woodfin has the right. And as Mr. Inman said, he has the obligation if he doesn't believe our doctors, to send him to a doctor of his own. And he didn't choose to do that. He could have sent him to any doctor in this town to have him evaluated, and to give an opinion in this case. He didn't do that for one reason.

After I filed the complaint, and after he filed the answer, he filed the interrogatories. And when we answer them under oath, we tell him who the doctors are that we've been going to. We're not trying to hide anything from anybody.

And he sends me a medical authorization for him to get the records himself, because I guess he doesn't trust me. And I signed this. And he gets it. And he knows exactly what was wrong with this man. From day one, once he got those medical records pursuant to the authorization that I signed.

And if he didn't believe those, he could have certainly gotten months ago... He could have gotten a doctor to look at him and give an opinion.

He chose not to do this. This is a big corporation. Fox Motors of Oak Ridge is a big corporation that's been sold and it's no longer in existence.

Electronically signed by Dana Holloway (401-030-868-4617)

He's got an obligation to come in here and prove 1 2 his case by reasonable medical certainty by a doctor. And he had the means, and the method, and the opportunity to do that. 3 And he didn't do it because he saw the handwriting on the wall. 4 5 He saw the two MRIs that were read by Dr. Yount, 6 a board-certified radiologist. He saw the two MRIs that were 7 read by Dr. Koenig, a board-certified orthopedic surgeon who actually read the reports and saw the films. And he saw the 8 9 reports of Dr. Joe Browder, who actually treated this man, and 10 who has treated him, and will treat him probably for the rest 11 of his life if Tom lives that long, or if Joe Browder lives that long. Somebody is going to treat this man for the rest of 12

He's never going to work again. And he will turn
65 in 2023. So he's got a long ways to go.

16 And let's talk about the projections that Mr. Inman put up here for just a minute. The actual medical 17 expenses, these were proved -- these weren't even contested by 18 Mr. Woodfin. So this sixteen thousand (\$16,000) goes without 19 20 saying. The actually medical expenses proven by Dr. Browder --21 they were not contested, fifty-seven hundred dollars (\$5,700). 22 Office Visits since I took Dr. Browder's deposition, eighty 23 dollars (\$80) a month for five months. That's four hundred 24 more dollars (\$400).

And then let's talk about the next line, the

13

25

his life.

Page 87

1 actual lost earnings. The date of the wreck was 5/12/04, right 2 here to 7/12 -- 5/12/04 to 6/20/06, that was 101 weeks as of 3 yesterday. That's 101 weeks at three hundred seventy dollars 4 (\$370) a week.

5 Now, if you look at one of the exhibits, the 2004 IRS tax for this man, he averaged about four hundred and twenty 6 7 dollars (\$420) a week the year of this wreck in '04. Look at that. Do the math. He only worked -- he never worked after 8 the 12th of July. So he worked about 28 weeks. You divide 28 9 10 into the twelve thousand two hundred and one (\$12,201) that he 11 got, and it's going to be about four hundred and twenty dollars 12 We didn't take that figure. We were conservative (\$420). 13 because he was getting overtime during that period of time.

And those are documents 2002, 2003, and 2004. And they verify his testimony that he was making a lot more money whenever he had the wreck than he was at the Scott County Hospital.

Future medical expenses, according to Dr. Browder on Page 13 of his deposition, are eighty dollars (\$80) a month for life, for 394 months, that's thirty-one thousand dollars (\$31,000) and change.

Well, Tom may die tomorrow. He may live a lot longer than his life expectancy. There's no guarantee of life for him, or you, or me, or anyone else.

But there is one guarantee. He's got a life

25

expectancy of roughly 34 more years, or 33 more years. And if I know anything, and if you know anything, prices are going up in the next 33 years.

Think back, those of you that are old enough to think back 33 years when you were spending money, what a loaf of bread cost then. What a doctors visit cost then. What a tank of gas cost 30 years ago. It was a lot less.

8 What do you think it will be like? Do you think 9 Dr. Browder's office is going to be charging eighty dollars 10 (\$80) a visit 20 or 30 years down the road? Of course not. 11 That's a low figure.

His future wage loss to 2/23/23, when he turns age 65, that's 867 weeks at what he's making now. Assuming he never gets another raise. Assuming inflation stops and wages don't go up for the next, roughly 15 or 16 years, whatever that would be, that's what he has lost when he turns 65, retirement age.

Now, these figures right here, this is what he's
out right now, the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000).
This is what he's going to be out if prices don't change, him

21 going to the doctor for the rest of his life.

This is what he's going to lose if he doesn't get a raise, not being able to work until he turns age 65. His actual accrued losses are four hundred and twelve thousand dollars (\$412,000).

Page 89 1 Now, let's talk about his pain for life, his loss 2 of enjoyment for life, and his medicine for life. 3 THE COURT: One minute, Mr. English. BY MR. ENGLISH: 4 5 His pain for life, even though he's going to retire, hopefully at 65, or he would have been able to, he's 6 7 going to live for another 33 years -- roughly. And he's going to have pain every day. And he's sleeping about two or three 8 hours a night. But say he sleeps eight hours a night and he's 9 10 up sixteen hours a night (sic). Would you give him ten dollars 11 an hour for the pain he's going through? That's a huge amount 12 of money. 13 And what about his loss of enjoyment of life 14 where he can't take care of his children. He can't go hunting. He can't go fishing. He can't do the things that put the 15 frosting on life that you all worked hard all week to do. 16 He just can't do that. 17 18 And what about this last thing, the medicine for 19 life. I put a question mark on all three of those things. I 20 don't know what the medicine is going to cost. Dr. Browder 21 said it's been going up about twice as fast as the inflation 22 index. What it will be in 30 years, nobody knows. That's for 23 you to say. 24 Ladies and gentlemen, I tried to do the best I can to present Tom's case. I don't think liability is an issue 25

here. I don't think damages should be an issue here. But I want you to do what you think is right, and what you think is fair, and what you think is just.

You go back and you decide he's entitled to whatever the football coach at the university makes in a year after losing five games -- two million dollars. And if you give him half that amount, you give him half justice, but you give him injustice. Just do what you think is right. Treat him the way he should be treated. He didn't do anything to cause this. And these people have been horrible to him.

> THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. English.

All right. At this time, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the Court is going to give you your final instructions and your jury charge. You will get a copy of this to take back to the jury room with you, but I always read it anyway before you go back.

JURY CHARGE

Now that you have heard and seen all the evidence in this case, and have heard the final argument of the attorneys, it is my duty to instruct you on the law which governs this case.

It is your duty as jurors to follow the law as stated in these instructions, and to apply the rules of law to the facts as you find them from the evidence in this case. You

11

12

18