IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,)	
) No. 3:05-CV-30	Э4
Plaintiff,)	
) Knoxville, TN	
VS.) June 21, 2006	
) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq.
Michael C. Inman, Esq.
Robert J. English & Associates
706 S. Gay Street
Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq.
Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC
800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400
Knoxville, TN 37929

DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455
MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS
Knoxville, Tennessee 37943
Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398
E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

of this element of damage is missing based on the proof that's been presented to the Court. And we would respectfully move that the Court direct a verdict in our favor on the issue of damages.

THE COURT: Mr. English.

MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, the proof is that this man hasn't worked a day since his wreck two years ago, almost. That proof is that he went to doctors, four certified orthopedic specialists that restricted him to 15 pounds lifting and sent him back to try to go to work. And he couldn't go to work. They wouldn't take him back.

The proof is that the other pain doctor that he was referred to by the orthopedicist says he probably won't be able to work. This certainly goes...

He can argue that to the jury, but this is a question of -- not of a disability, but it goes to the weight of the evidence.

The jury may not believe that he is unable to go to work. Or that he is able to work. But this is certainly a jury question.

THE COURT: All right. The Court is going to find that there has been an adequate foundation put in the record with regard to his lost earning capacity

Page 40 based on the fact that he hasn't worked for the last 1 2 couple of years, and what he was making before that. And I don't think the fact that he made application to some other places for employment changes any of that. 4 5 So the bottom line is, that's a question for the jury to decide whether or not he's entitled to 6 7 that element of damage. And if so, how much. But he certainly has laid a predicate for it. So that motion 8 9 respectfully will be denied. 10 Mr. Woodfin, anything else? 11 MR. WOODFIN: Nothing further, Your Honor. 12 Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Are we ready to bring the jury 14 back in? 15 MR. ENGLISH: I believe so, Your Honor. 16 30 minutes per side, Mr. Inman will start for us. Would that be too much, Your Honor? 17 18 THE COURT: Well, do you have witnesses? 19 MR. WOODFIN: Mr. Curd. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Well, have you all agreed 21 on an amount of time for closing? 22 MR. WOODFIN: We really haven't. THE COURT: I would think 30 minutes ought to 23

be sufficient. Actually, neither one of you used the

whole 15 the first time. So maybe we won't use the

24

25