## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,	)	
	) No. 3:05-CV-304	ł
Plaintiff,	)	
	) Knoxville, TN	
VS.	) June 21, 2006	
	) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED) BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq. Michael C. Inman, Esq. Robert J. English & Associates 706 S. Gay Street Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq. Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC 800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400 Knoxville, TN 37929

> DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455 MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS Knoxville, Tennessee 37943 Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398 E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

Page 110 1 Now, we can bring the jury back in and 2 instruct them again on that and try to clarify this. 3 I'm open to any other suggestions. MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, in light of this 4 5 verdict, it's inconsistent. I think I would just move for a judgment not withstanding the verdict and a new 6 7 trial. I don't think it can be cured by this jury 8 9 since they've said they didn't think Oak Ridge is --10 Fox of Oak Ridge was the legal cause of plaintiff's 11 injuries even though they thought they were negligent, 12 and then they awarded damages. 13 MR. WOODFIN: And again, I think in reading 14 that verdict form, it may not be as inconsistent as we 15 think when the question is: Did the negligence cause 16 injury? They answered that "No," but felt compelled to 17 18 award damages. 19 There was proof before this Court that 20 damages, medically, were approximately \$30,000. So I 21 don't see too much inconsistency in the verdict at all. 22 The question was very specific. We all 23 24 approved the verdict form. And I think we are forced 25 to live with the decision of this jury.

Page 111 1 MR. ENGLISH: But it's an inconsistent 2 judgment, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Well, let's take a minute. Let me think about it. Let me think about what we're going 4 5 to do with this. MR. WOODFIN: And that could be taken up, 6 7 perhaps on a motion for a new trial later. But I think that's the verdict we have today. 8 9 THE COURT: Well, let me think about if 10 there's a way that this jury can fix this situation 11 before I let them go. Just give me a couple of 12 minutes. 13 (Court in recess.) 14 DEPUTY CLERK: This Court is again in session. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We've got several options 16 that we can pursue. And I've been going over those 17 options, weighing the pros and cons of them. 18 Obviously, there's an ambiguity in the wording 19 and/or inconsistency. 20 One option would be to revise the verdict form 21 and give it to the jury and tell them to start over. I don't know that I can do that. 22 23 The other option is to bring the jury in and 24 make sure they are unanimous as to Question No. 2. 25 And if they are, then my intention would be to ask the

Page 112 foreperson if they answered "No" to No. 2, then why 1 2 did they go on and answer No. 3? 3 And based on that information, if that clears up the ambiguity -- perhaps it will. And if it 4 5 doesn't, then the Court is going to let the jury go and proceed on. 6 7 MR. WOODFIN: Your Honor, obviously, you can do whatever you see fit in this situation, but I don't 8 9 necessarily view the ambiguity, I quess, the way the 10 Court does. 11 THE COURT: I understand. 12 MR. WOODFIN: If they had been asked injuries 13 and damages and came up with that figure, I don't 14 think we have a question. But I'm thinking it's very 15 consistent for them to rule that the injuries were not 16 caused based on the proof that was presented, yet 17 awarded amounts for medical expenses that were proven 18 in this case, which is very close to the figure that they arrived at. 19 20 If the Court sees a problem with the verdict, 21 I'm a little bit concerned about questioning the jury 22 further about why they came up with that number. 23 I guess we have to look it from the 24 perspective of the answer to the second question 25 should just prevent my client from being awarded