IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,)	
) No. 3:05-CV-30	Э4
Plaintiff,)	
) Knoxville, TN	
VS.) June 21, 2006	
) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq.
Michael C. Inman, Esq.
Robert J. English & Associates
706 S. Gay Street
Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq.
Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC
800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400
Knoxville, TN 37929

DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455
MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS
Knoxville, Tennessee 37943
Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398
E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

employee by the corporation. Or within the scope of the agent or employee's duties as an employee of the corporation.

I want to talk to you now about damages. A plaintiff is entitled to recover compensation for an injury that was legally caused by the negligent conduct of a defendant.

In this case, the plaintiff has the burden of proving that the defendant was at fault. In this case, this means the plaintiff has the burden of proving that the defendant was negligent. And two, that the negligence was a legal cause of injury to the plaintiff.

Negligence is the failure to use reasonable care. It is either doing something that a reasonably careful person would not do, or the failure to do something that a reasonably careful person would do under circumstances similar to those shown by the evidence.

A person may assume that every other person will use reasonable care unless the circumstances indicate the contrary to a reasonably careful person.

The second part of fault is legal cause. A legal cause of an injury is a cause which in natural and continuous sequence produces an injury, and without which the injury would not have occurred. A single injury can be caused by a negligent act or omission of one or more persons.

If you find that a party was negligent, and that

the negligence was a legal cause of the injury or damages for which a claim was made, you have found that party to be at fault.

The plaintiff has the burden to prove the defendant's fault. If the plaintiff fails to do so, you should find no fault on the part of the defendant.

I want to talk to you now about the concept called negligence per se. A person who violates a statute or ordinance is negligent. However, a person violating a statute or ordinance is not at fault unless you also find that the violation was a legal cause of the injury or damage for which a claim has been made.

The plaintiff in this case alleges that the defendant Fox of Oak Ridge's employee, Mr. Curd, violated the following statute as set forth in \$55-8-124(a) of the Tennessee Code Annotated: "The driver of a motor vehicle shall not follow another vehicle more closely than is reasonable and prudent, having due regard for the speed of such vehicles and the traffic upon and the condition of the Highway."

I want to talk to you now about damages. If you find in favor of the plaintiff, you should assess damages in an amount that would reasonably compensate him for each of following elements of loss or harm, if any, that he has suffered, or will suffer, as a legal result of the fault of the defendant. Each of these elements of damages is separate. You