IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,)	
) No. 3:05-CV-30	Э4
Plaintiff,)	
) Knoxville, TN	
VS.) June 21, 2006	
) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq.
Michael C. Inman, Esq.
Robert J. English & Associates
706 S. Gay Street
Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq.
Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC
800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400
Knoxville, TN 37929

DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455
MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS
Knoxville, Tennessee 37943
Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398
E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

the negligence was a legal cause of the injury or damages for which a claim was made, you have found that party to be at fault.

The plaintiff has the burden to prove the defendant's fault. If the plaintiff fails to do so, you should find no fault on the part of the defendant.

I want to talk to you now about the concept called negligence per se. A person who violates a statute or ordinance is negligent. However, a person violating a statute or ordinance is not at fault unless you also find that the violation was a legal cause of the injury or damage for which a claim has been made.

The plaintiff in this case alleges that the defendant Fox of Oak Ridge's employee, Mr. Curd, violated the following statute as set forth in \$55-8-124(a) of the Tennessee Code Annotated: "The driver of a motor vehicle shall not follow another vehicle more closely than is reasonable and prudent, having due regard for the speed of such vehicles and the traffic upon and the condition of the Highway."

I want to talk to you now about damages. If you find in favor of the plaintiff, you should assess damages in an amount that would reasonably compensate him for each of following elements of loss or harm, if any, that he has suffered, or will suffer, as a legal result of the fault of the defendant. Each of these elements of damages is separate. You

may not duplicate damages for any element by also including that same loss or harm in another element of damage.

The plaintiff Thomas Neely shall be awarded the following elements of damage experienced in the past, if any: Physical pain and suffering; mental or emotional pain and suffering, including anguish; distress; fear; humiliation; grief; shame or worry; loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life; or disfigurement.

You shall also award compensation for the present cash value of: Physical pain and suffering; mental or emotional pain and suffering, including anguish; distress; fear; humiliation; grief; shame or worry; loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life; or disfigurement reasonably certain to be experienced by a party in the future.

There is no set mathematical formula for computing reasonable compensation for physical pain and suffering, mental or emotional pain and suffering, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, disfigurement; nor is the opinion of any witness required as to the amount of such compensation.

In making an award for such damages, you must use your best judgment and establish an amount of damages that is fair and reasonable in light of the evidence before you.

The next element of damages that the plaintiff
Thomas Neely may recover is for reasonable and necessary

expenses for medical care, services and supplies actually given in the treatment of a party as shown by the evidence. And the present cash value of medical expenses reasonably certain to be required in the future.

The next element of damages that the plaintiff
Thomas Neely can recover is the value of the ability to earn
money that has been lost in the past, and the present cash
value of the ability to earn money that is reasonably certain
to be lost in the future.

In deciding what, if any, awards should be made for the loss of the ability to earn, or loss of earning capacity, you should consider any evidence of the party's earning capacity, including among other things, the party's health, age, character, occupation, past earnings, intelligence, skill, talent, experience and record of employment. The loss of the ability to earn money may include, but is not limited to, actual loss of income.

Plaintiff Thomas Neely also claims damages for permanent injury. To recover damages for permanent injury, the plaintiff must prove the future effect of the injury with reasonable certainty. While it is not necessary that the evidence show conclusively or absolutely that the injury is permanent, you may not award damages for a permanent injury based upon a mere conjection or a possibility.

In determining any damages arising in the future,

you must not multiply a dollar amount by the length of time you think the damages will continue, or by the number of years the plaintiff is likely to live. Instead, you must determine the present cash value of the damages you have determined the plaintiff is entitled to recover.

In using the expression "present cash value" in these instructions, present cash value means the sum of money needed now, which when added to what that sum may reasonably be expected to earn in the future when invested, would equal the amount of the damages, expenses, or earnings at the time in the future when the damages from the injury will be suffered, or the expenses must be paid, or the earnings would have been received.

You should also consider the impact of inflation, it's impact on wages, and it's impact on purchasing power in determining the present cash value of future damages. Damages for future pain and suffering, or disability, are also reduced to present value.

As shown by the actuarial tables, the plaintiff
Tom Neely's life expectancy is 32.85 years. This life
expectancy is not conclusive. It's an average life expectancy
of persons who have reached a certain age. You should be aware
that many persons live longer, and many die sooner than the
average.

However, this figure may be considered by you in