IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION

THOMAS NEELY,)	
) No. 3:05-CV-30	4
Plaintiff,)	
) Knoxville, TN	
VS.) June 21, 2006	
) 9:30 a.m.	
FOX OF OAK RIDGE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL (CONTINUED)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE H. BRUCE GUYTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Robert J. English, Esq.
Michael C. Inman, Esq.
Robert J. English & Associates
706 S. Gay Street
Knoxville, TN 37902

For the Defendant: Clint J. Woodfin, Esq.
Spicer, Flynn & Rudstrom, PLLC
800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400
Knoxville, TN 37929

DANA HOLLOWAY, LCR #11, CCR #455
MILLER & MILLER COURT REPORTERS
Knoxville, Tennessee 37943
Phone (865) 675-1471 / Fax (865) 675-6398
E-mail: jmccon3590@aol.com

Page 64

1 Mr. Inman. 2 MR. INMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Before you begin that -- I'm 4 sorry. 5 MR. INMAN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Mr. English, you read for the 6 7 record the actuarial chart? MR. ENGLISH: I did. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that that 10 you can pass to the Clerk? I need to see that. 11 Does it have circled the pertinent --12 MR. ENGLISH: Yes, Your Honor. 13 highlighted. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm sorry. I should 15 have asked for this when you first introduced it. 16 Thank you. 17 All right. Mr. Inman. 18 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. INMAN: 19 CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. INMAN: 20 21 Ladies and gentlemen of the Jury, I want to 22 introduce myself. My name is Michael Inman. And I am the individual that everybody has been talking about here recently. 23 I feel somewhat infamous today, especially after yesterday --24 25 and today's testimony as well.

1 Yes, I'm the individual, the attorney that

2 referred Mr. Neely to these doctors. That was me. But I'm not

going to sit here and apologize to you folks. I did it.

Much like some of you who have a medical

background, you take an oath. As an attorney, I take an oath.

And as part of that oath, I am to try my best to properly

7 represent my client. And as part of that, to properly

represent my client, I have to counsel my client. And at

9 times, yeah, that means to seek treatment for them.

Now, you can probably tell that I'm very nervous.

There is a good reason for that. This is one of my first jury

trials. I just have to tell you. I am not the speaker that

Mr. Woodfin is. I can't sit here and rattle off the way he

does. And therefore, I wasn't even planning to speak to you

folks. I was going to defer to Mr. English.

16 But sitting here and listening to the testimony

and exhibits that were put on, it's come to my attention,

you're wondering who I am. Why am I sitting here? Why have I

referred Mr. Neely to all these doctors?

20 And I felt that I had an obligation that I needed

21 to get up and I needed to tell you why. I'm sitting here

telling you that, yes, I did send him to these doctors.

What Mr. Woodfin has not told you, and he doesn't

24 want you to hear, is he has the right to send him to any doctor

he wants to. If he doesn't believe Mr. Neely, he could have

6

8

12

13

14

1 sent him to a doctor.

He had the ability, and dare I say it, the obligation and duty to his client to do so. He can get a second opinion. He didn't do that. He did not do that.

And yesterday, it came to my attention, and I'm sure it came to yours... You're very observant. You sit here and you study us. And quite frankly, I didn't know whether to look at you or, not look at you. This is one of my first cases, as I said.

And I don't know how you look at us. You're always thinking we're plotting and trying to maybe figure out some strategy. And sometimes, yes, we were. But at the same time, I want to bring to your attention, there was a lot of thank you's. The defendants attorney thanked you a lot yesterday.

But I'll tell you what you haven't heard. You haven't heard the first apology. You haven't heard the first, "I am sorry," by the defendant. That hasn't... Those words haven't come across his lips one time.

Now, as to -- as to this case... You know, for me, as well as yourselves, this is just another day. But unfortunately for Mr. Neely, this is the rest of his life. And this impacts him for the rest of his life.

So, yes, I am nervous. Yes, I am tired. I have to admit that to you. We do everything, as attorneys, we can

for our clients. And once again, that shouldn't have to be apologized for. But as to this defendant, they haven't even admitted liability.

Mr. Neely, who was driving over one hundred miles, at least an hour and 15 minutes, according to his testimony, to the job that he dearly loved, in an effort to support his family, was crashed into by the defendant's driver, Mr. Curd, who is professional driver. He was crashed into, forever, forever, according to the testimony of the doctors, taking his ability away to support his family.

The doctor depositions... Let me go back though. It goes beyond that. It goes beyond his ability to properly care for his family as far as money. He can't do the things that he normally did before. This has interfered, as his testimony alluded to, with his sex life due to the back pain, due to the prescription, these narcotics.

He also has two small children. These two children, one of which was conceived after the accident, are now reaching for daddy. They want to be held by daddy. They want to be picked up. And Mr. Neely, more than anybody, wants to provide that for them. He can't do that.

Those limits that you heard the doctor put on him, those are real. If the defendant doesn't believe it, they could have sent him to a doctor. They didn't. They have no testimony whatsoever to say otherwise.

As to the defendant's driver, as he testified

2 here earlier, he was going too fast, too close, on too slick a

road. And yes, he crashed into the rear of this vehicle.

There was a passing lane. But he was out of control. He's

5 testified to that.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And they still won't accept responsibility. They have yet to step up to the plate and accept the responsibility for causing this accident.

And that's not the only thing they won't accept. They don't want to tell you, and they don't want you to hear, as you heard through Mr. Neely's testimony, that his vehicle was totaled. They don't want you to hear that.

They don't want you to see these pictures of a huge van -- steel. He testified this is a gauged steel bumper.

And as you know, when you have a huge vehicle crash into a small vehicle, sometimes you might get a minor puncture on the vehicle that it hit. And it will total the vehicle that it crashed into. Well, that is the case, ladies and gentlemen.

And they also didn't want you to hear that he's unemployable. He testified that he put in applications, even with the "no duty" restrictions put on him by his doctor, to try to support his family. His newborn child, his daughter, his three-year-old son, they rely on him.

His wife was not working at the time of this

- accident. She was caring and raising these children. He had
 at least one child at that time. And then comes another child,
 a minor child. She can't work. She can't help raise these
 children. And as lot of you know who have children, can't
- afford the daycare that it would cost to go and work. There's been no assistance.
- And I want to refer you back to Dr. Koenig's

 deposition. And as you heard, he put on Mr. Neely a "no duty"

 restriction.
- 10 As Dr. Koenig testified, he was asked: "What are those restrictions?"
- And he stated, answer: "On July 6th, it was

 written for no repetitive bending, stooping, squatting, or

 lifting greater than 15 pounds. He should be allowed frequent

 changes of position."
- Question by Mr. English: "Are those still the restrictions that you put on him pertinent at this time, sir?"
- Answer: "No in effect, I should state that those were amended further whereby he was placed on no duty."
- No duty, ladies and gentlemen. No duty.
- Further answer: "Meaning that I really don't think he can do anything."
- You've heard Dr. Browder's deposition and
 testimony that he couldn't go back to work. You also heard the
 cross examination of Dr. Koenig that he couldn't go back to

- work. He's been placed on permanent "no duty" restrictions,
- 2 and he's not going to get any better, as the doctors have
- 3 testified to.
- 4 Furthermore as to the pain and suffering that
- 5 Mr. Neely suffered through with this, he has testified that
- 6 it's been great, it's been exceeding. It's been so great, as a
- 7 matter of fact, that he's been put on pain management for
- 8 life -- more than likely for life. Methadone. For those of
- 9 you in the medical profession, you know what methadone is going
- 10 to do to this man.
- 11 Methadone, unfortunately, is going to have an
- affect on him in years to come. And it's going to get more
- 13 expensive.
- As to -- this is Dr. Koenig. And the doctor came
- back with, I believe -- let me rephrase this.
- 16 Mr. English asked Dr. Koenig: "Doctor, did you
- have any opinion as to whether or not this man will suffer pain
- in the future as a result of these injuries?"
- Answer: "Yes, sir. I think that unfortunately,
- also. And that's the reason why we sent him to a pain
- 21 management consultant -- to Dr. Browder."
- Referring to Dr. Browder who has been treating
- him for his pain. In his deposition I just want to remind you,
- he stated: "He has not be able to return to work. Apparently,
- 25 the type of job that he has requires the ability to physically

restrain patients who may become violent. So he may not be able to return to that position." These are the words of a doctor.

The defendant is going to get up here and he's going tell you it hasn't been proven. He's going to tell you that they're not responsible. Once again, they want to hide what the facts -- what the truth is.

We've laid it out here for you. There's no doubt that this man being the driver or a defendant is going too fast, too close, on too slick a road. There's no doubt about it.

He even testified there was a clear passing lane.

And that is the unfortunate result of this wreck which caused

Mr. Neely these injuries. Which are of a permanent nature.

Now, I would like... I apologize. As I stated,
I wasn't really planning on speaking with you today. I was
going to let Mr. English present this case. But I felt I owed
a duty to Mr. Neely to tell you why I'm sitting here, and why I
haven't said a word.

Now, Mr. Neely, as you heard read into the record, is 48 years of age. The Tennessee Code Annotated table stated that he has a life expectancy of 32.85 years. His actual medical expenses to date, which have been filed as exhibits, and which will be available to you, is sixteen thousand, two hundred and eighty-five dollars (\$16,285). And

1 that's not current.

The actual medical expenses of Dr. Browder, which has also been provided as an exhibit, too, which you'll have access to, is five thousand, seven hundred and forty-three dollars (\$5,743), plus office visits from 6/19/06 of eighty dollars (\$80) for five visits for each one of them. That equals four hundred, plus (\$400+).

The actual lost earnings... The date of this wreck was July 12, '04. He hasn't worked a day since. And as of 6/20/06, that's one hundred and one weeks, times three hundred and seventy dollars (\$370), pursuant to his tax return which has been provided as an exhibit. That equals thirty-seven thousand, three hundred and seventy dollars, plus (\$37,370+). We're not including interest on this. This is not including inflation. This is just what he was making. And this is the low figures.

Now to the actual losses. They total at 6/20/06, three hundred thousand, seven hundred and ninety-eight dollars, plus (\$300,798+). Once again, that's not with inflation.

That's not with interest. That's actual loss.

As for future medical expenses, as I alluded to.

At this time this monthly visit to Dr. Browder for pain

management is eighty dollars (\$80). And he stated in his

testimony that that's only going to go up. But at eighty

dollars (\$80) for life, with the life expectancy that we have

of 394 months are thirty-one thousand, five hundred and twenty dollars (\$31,520). That's what he has to look forward to, ladies and gentlemen. That's what he has.

And his future lost wages, from 6/20 to 2/23, which is age 65, retirement age, that is at 867 weeks at a rate of three hundred seventy dollars (\$370). Mind you, we took into consideration and we left his pay rate the same. We didn't give him a bonus. We didn't give him inflation. We didn't put in any interest. But that amount is three hundred twenty thousand, seven hundred and ninety dollars (\$320,790). With the two combined, that's four hundred twelve thousand dollars (\$412,000).

Now, as to the future, who knows? There's no telling when this whole thing is going to end. But we do know he's going to have pain. The doctor says more likely than not, yes, he will have pain for life. If he's lucky to live to this ripe old age.

His loss of enjoyment. Can you put a dollar amount on that? Is it possible to put a dollar amount on this man not being able to pick up his children? And the medicine, once again, that's just going to go up.

We all know what medicine costs. We all know what medical expenses are these days. Think about a loaf of bread two years ago, or three years ago. Has it not gone up?

Think about the price of gasoline two or three

- 1 years ago, and what it is today. These expenses are only going
- 2 to get higher.
- But we're asking you to do what's right and
- 4 what's just, and come back with a verdict for Mr. Neely.
- 5 THE COURT: Mr. Woodfin.
- MR. WOODFIN: Thank you.
- 7 CLOSING ARGUMENT
- 8 BY MR. WOODFIN:
- 9 Thank you all for listening to us over these past
- 10 few days. I'll try to be brief.
- 11 You heard the evidence in this case. You've been
- able to weigh the credibility of the witnesses that you've
- 13 heard. And you should be able to make a decision on what you
- 14 have heard.
- A lawsuit starts out with the filing of a
- 16 complaint. And I mentioned that in the beginning of this
- 17 presentation that I made to you, that the complaint was the
- amount that we were sued for. The complaint is a part of this
- record of this Court. I'll just read this to you.
- "Wherefore the plaintiff's demand the jury to try
- 21 this cause and award judgment in such amount that the jury
- deems fair, but not to exceed two million, five hundred
- thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) in compensatory damages."
- Yet, the plaintiff expects my client to apologize
- 25 to him for an accident that they did not cause. They expect us