## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

Thomas Neely,		:	
P	laintiff,		
VS.		: Case No. 3:05-cv-304	
Fox of Oak Ridge,		: Jury Trial-Day 1	
Γ	Defendant.	:	
Transcript of proceedings before the Honorable H. Bruce Guyton,			
U. S. Magistrate Judge, on June 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2006.			
Appearances:	On behalf o	f the Plaintiff:	
	Robert J. English, Esq. Michael C. Inman, Esq. Knoxville, Tennessee		
On behalf of the Defendant:			
	Jennifer N	oodfin, Esq. A. Caywood, Esq. e, Tennessee	
Court Reporter:	800 Market	ocuba, RMR Street, Suite 132 Tennessee 37902 24-4590	

## Neely vs. Fox-Trial-6/20/06

has said, consider the following facts: the demeanor of the witness 1 on the witness stand, meaning the way the witness behaves; the 2 witness' intelligence or lack of intelligence; any biases or 3 prejudices that the witness may have shown; the relationship of the 4 witness to any of the parties in the case; whether or not the witness 5 has anything to gain or lose by a particular outcome of the case; 6 how the witness learned the facts about which he testifies; the 7 reasonableness or unreasonableness of the story the witness tells; 8 and whether the witness' testimony is consistent or inconsistent 9 with other statements the witness may have made in the past. 10

You should attach more importance to the testimony of that
witness or witnesses who, in your opinion, have been more truthful
in telling the facts of the case.

I want to talk to you about the law that applies in this case just
briefly. I will give you detailed instructions at the end of this trial,
and those instructions will govern your deliberations. However, in
order to help you follow the evidence you are about to hear, I will
give you at this time a brief summary of the elements which the
Plaintiff must prove in order to make out his case.

A plaintiff is entitled to recover compensation for an injury
that was legally caused by the negligent conduct of the defendant.
In this case, the Plaintiff has the burden of proving that the
Plaintiff(sic) was at fault. In this case, this means the Plaintiff has
the burden of proving that the Defendant was negligent and that the
negligence was a legal cause of injury to the Plaintiff.

## Neely vs. Fox-Trial-6/20/06

Negligence is the failure to use reasonable care. It is either
doing something that a reasonably careful person would not do or
failing to do something that a reasonably careful person would do
under circumstances similar to those shown by the evidence. A
person may assume that every other person will use reasonable care
unless the circumstances indicate the contrary to a reasonably
careful person.

8 The second part of fault is what I just mentioned, legal cause. 9 A legal cause of an injury is a cause which, in natural and 10 continuous sequence, produces an injury and without which the 11 injury would not have occurred. A single injury can be caused by 12 the negligent acts or omissions of one or more persons.

If you find that a party was negligent and that the negligence
was a legal cause of the injury or damages for which claim was
made, you have found that party to be at fault. The Plaintiff has the
burden to prove the Defendant's fault. If the Plaintiff fails to do
so, you should find no fault on the part of the Defendant.

A person who violates a statute or ordinance is negligent. However, a person violating a statute or ordinance is not at fault unless you also find that that violation was the legal cause of the injury or damage for which the claim has been made.

In this case, the Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant, Fox of
Oak Ridge's employee, Mr. Curd, violated the following statute as
set forth in Section 55-8-124, Subpart A, of the Tennessee Code
Annotated, which states: "The driver of a motor vehicle shall not