IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

Thomas Neely,		:	
P	laintiff,		
VS.		: Case No. 3:05-cv-304	
Fox of Oak Ridge,		: Jury Trial-Day 1	
Γ	Defendant.	:	
Transcript of proceedings before the Honorable H. Bruce Guyton,			
U. S. Magistrate Judge, on June 20 th , 2006.			
Appearances:	On behalf o	f the Plaintiff:	
	Robert J. English, Esq. Michael C. Inman, Esq. Knoxville, Tennessee		
On behalf of the Defendant:			
	Jennifer N	oodfin, Esq. A. Caywood, Esq. e, Tennessee	
Court Reporter:	800 Market	ocuba, RMR Street, Suite 132 Tennessee 37902 24-4590	

Neely vs. Fox-Trial-6/20/06

on him and make him well. This is something that he's going to
 have to live with the rest of his life. He's not going back to the job
 that he dearly loved that he drove 200 miles a day just to do,
 because he can't.

He has a hard time sitting for a long period of time. He has a
hard time standing for a long period of time. He has problems
laying down. He sleeps two or three hours a night. This man's in
terrible pain.

9 Dr. Browder's a pain specialist. He doesn't operate on
10 people. He doesn't do anything. He injects them, and sometimes
11 the injections- they call ESIs, epidural steroid injections12 sometimes they help, and he tried that on Tom, didn't help at all.
13 He was going to do another one on his back. He got such a bad
14 result the first time, he didn't do that.

So now he's put him on a pain killer called methadone.
Methadone, he started him out on two pills a day, and now I think
he's up to four pills a day. And he's going to be on those,
according to Dr. Browder, who will testify by deposition, the rest
of his life.

Dr. Browder says that he will have to see either Dr. Browder
or another pain specialist on a monthly basis – because these are
medications that can be very addictive – for the rest of his life. And
Dr. Browder says he's never going back to work.

So that's our case. I think it's a very simple case. We haven't
sued for property damage. That's already-that's been resolved.

Neely vs. Fox-Trial-6/20/06

3

4

11

So the only thing we're suing for now is for the damages that this-1 and the effect that these damages had on Tom. 2

We ask you to listen carefully and give us adequate compensation. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, counsel. Mr. Woodfin? 5 MR. WOODFIN: Good afternoon, everybody. Thank 6 you for being patient in listening to us as we make these opening 7 statements regarding what we think the evidence is going to prove 8 in this case. Judge Guyton will tell you also, what we say to you 9 here is not evidence. It's our role as an advocate for our client, and 10 also is a role as an advocate for the legal system itself.

What I mean by that is, it's my goal to make sure that you all 12 understand all of the evidence in this case, use the evidence and the 13 instructions that are given to you by the Court, and decide this case 14 in a fair and reasonable way. That's all that we're asking today. 15

You understand, as we've talked about during the initial 16 meetings that we've had, that the Plaintiff has the burden of 17 proving all of these things to you by that preponderance of the 18 evidence standard. The Defendant, Mr. Fox and I, we don't have to 19 prove anything. We don't even have to put any evidence on. 20

But what we do do is take a look at their evidence and bring 21 out points which reflect against the weight of that evidence. And 22 after doing that in this case, it's my opinion that it's very clear that 23 the Plaintiff has not met his burden of proving these things by any 24 measure whatsoever. 25